IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

MONDAY, THE 18TH JUNE 2007 / 28TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 18561 of 2007(I)

PETITIONER:

K.I. KURIAPPAN @ BABY, SHROFF,
THRISSUR URBAN CO-OP.BANK, WEST FORT BRANCH,
THRISSUR, S/O. INASU, KAKKASSERY HOUSE,
SOUTH PARK, OLLUKKARA, THRISSUR.

BY ADV. SRI.P.SANTHOSH (PODUVAL)
SMT.R.RAJITHA

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. CHAIRMAN,
THRISSUR URBAN CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.,
P.O. BOX NO.86, HEAD OFFICE, MISSION QUARTERS,
THRISSUR.

2. MANAGER,
THRISSUR URBAN CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.,
WEST FORT BRANCH, THRISSUR.

3. GENERAL MANAGER,
THRISSUR URBAN CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.,
HEAD OFFICE, MISSION QUARTERS, THRISSUR.

4. JOINT REGISTRAR,
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, THRISSUR.

5. REGISTRAR,
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

R1 TO R3 BY SC SRI. C.D. DILEEP.
R4 & R5 BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI. P.N. SANTHOSH.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 18/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.M.JOSEPH, J.

- -

WP.(C) No.18561 of 2007

- -

Dated this the 18th day of June, 2007

JUDGMENT

Case of the petitioner is that he was appointed as Peon and promoted as Shroff in the year 1993 temporarily. His appointment is regularised in the year 1994 and he has been working as Shroff till now. Petitioner was sought to be reverted back to the post of Peon with effect from 1.8.1994. He is now transferred to the Head Office as a Peon. He is being compelled to work as Peon and to appear in uniform. Petitioner challenged the decision of the Managing Committee. The fourth respondent issued Ext.P5 order dated 3.6.2007 staying further proceedings pursuant to the decision of the Managing Committee. According to the learned counsel for the Bank, the order is already implemented. This is disputed by the petitioner.

2. I heard learned Government Pleader also.

The writ petition is disposed of as follows:

Petitioner has to approach the fourth respondent, who after hearing the petitioner and the respondent Bank will take a decision in

the matter in accordance with law. I feel that a time limit can be fixed for disposal of Ext.P4. There will be a further direction to the fourth respondent to take a decision on the proceedings filed by the petitioner before the fourth respondent after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and the respondent Bank within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

(K.M. JOSEPH, JUDGE)

sb